

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/806,212	03/23/2004	Jae-ryong Park	1572.1202	1739	
21171 7590 06/21/2007 STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER		
SUITE 700			ALEXANDER, REGINALD .		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1761		
•		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/806,212	PARK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Reginald L. Alexander	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 N</u>	lay 2007					
	action is non-final.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	expanto quajro, 1000 c.b. 11, 10	0.0.210.				
	liti					
	4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	will from consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
<u> </u>						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign     a) All b) Some * c) None of:     1. Certified copies of the priority document     2. Certified copies of the priority document     3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau     * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive J (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>·</u> d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te				

Art Unit: 1761

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrison in view of Oslin.

There is disclosed in Harrison a bread baking device, comprising: a main body 22, including a frame 52 forming an oven compartment (inner chamber); an oven 28, including a rear part and side parts, accommodated in the oven compartment of the main body; a heating system 71, 74, 106; and a kneading system 44; a space provided between the main body and the oven; wherein the main body is insulated from the oven (col. 3, lines 24-29).

Oslin discloses that it is known in the art to provide within a spacing area, protruding members (bracket) 212 formed with an apparent screw hole to accommodate a screw (see figures 3, 4, 7 and 8) passing therethrough the protruding members connecting opposite side walls of the spacing area and creating a space which is filled by insulation 34. The protruding members being located at the side, rear and door of the oven (see figures 4, 7, 8).

Art Unit: 1761

While Harrison fails to disclose a spacing part and specific insulation member, such deficiencies have been taught to be old and well known in the art with the disclosure of Oslin.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of

Harrison with spacing members and an insulation material as taught in Oslin, in order to

provide structural support and a rigid connection between the oven and oven

compartment and prevent the main body from becoming hot during use of the device.

The location and protruding direction of the spacing members is an obvious matter of design choice, since the structural support can be made at all locations of the device, front, back or sides and the direction at which they protrude has no bearing on the overall function of the spacers.

Claims 5-7 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claims above, and further in view of Sakamoto.

Sakamoto discloses that it is known in the art to use brackets as a spacing member between an oven and oven main body, the brackets being located at the sides, and rear of the main body and being supported by screws.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of Harrison, as modified by Oslin, with the spacing members taught in Sakamoto, in order to provide structural support and a rigid connection between the oven and oven compartment.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hedenberg '850 in view of Oslin.

Art Unit: 1761

There is disclosed in Hedenberg a bread maker, comprising: a frame (outer housing) to form an oven compartment; a kneading drum 88; an oven 81, 82 including a rear part and a side part accommodated in the oven compartment; and an insulation 15 provided between the frame and the oven (see fig. 15).

Oslin, as discussed above, discloses the use of a spacing part to form a space between a main body and oven and combine the main body and oven.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of Hedenberg with the spacing part taught in Oslin, in order to provide support to the insulated oven member in respect to the main body.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02 May 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The teaching in Oslin is of a protruding member which creates a space for the mounting of insulation therein. While it is the opinion of the examiner that the entire oven of Oslin is provided with these protruding members, see figures cited above, it is none the less taught in Oslin that a protruding member can be used within an insulated space.

The teaching of insulating a heating oven is taught in the prior art to be old and well known. The method of creating the insulated space between the oven and an outer surface has been shown in the prior art to be done in various manners. Oslin teaches the claimed arrangement. The motivation to use the combined references is provided in the rejection above. While applicant may not agree with the cited motivation, the cited motivation is one which would be obvious to one skilled in the art.

Page 5

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

rla

12 June 2007

Reginald L. Alexander

Page 6

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761